

A Note on the Representation Theory of Fell Bundles

Ruy Exel*

Departamento de Matemática; Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina; 88010-970 Florianópolis SC; Brazil.

ABSTRACT. We show that every Fell bundle $\mathcal{B}$ over a locally compact group G is *proper* in a sense recently introduced by Ng. Combining our results with those of Ng we show that if $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies the *approximation property* then it is amenable in the sense that the full and reduced cross-sectional C^* -algebras coincide.

1. Introduction.

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_t\}_{t \in G}$ be a Fell bundle over a locally compact group G (see [FD] for a comprehensive treatment of the theory of Fell bundles, also referred to as C^* -algebraic bundles). We denote by $L_2(\mathcal{B})$ the right Hilbert B_e -module obtained by completing the space $C_c(\mathcal{B})$ of all continuous compactly supported sections of $\mathcal{B}$, under the B_e -valued inner product (cf. [E: Section 2], [N: 2.2]) given by

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{B_e} = \int \xi(t)^* \eta(t) dt, \quad \xi, \eta \in C_c(\mathcal{B}).$$

We should warn the reader that our notation for $L_2(\mathcal{B})$ differs from that used in [N].

The *left-regular representation* of $\mathcal{B}$ is the map (cf. [E: 2.2])

$$\Lambda : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L_2(\mathcal{B})),$$

where $\mathcal{L}(L_2(\mathcal{B}))$ indicates the C^* -algebra of all adjointable operators [JT: 1.1.7] on $L_2(\mathcal{B})$, given for any t in G and any b_t in B_t , by

$$\Lambda(b_t)\xi|_s = b_t \xi(t^{-1}s), \quad \xi \in L_2(\mathcal{B}), \quad s \in G.$$

Let $C^*(\mathcal{B})$ be the cross-sectional C^* -algebra of $\mathcal{B}$ (cf. [FD: VIII.17.2]) defined to be the enveloping C^* -algebra of the Banach $*$ -algebra $L_1(\mathcal{B})$ formed by the integrable sections [FD: VIII.5.2]. The integrated form of Λ , which we also denote by Λ , is the $*$ -homomorphism

$$\Lambda : C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L_2(\mathcal{B}))$$

specified by setting $\Lambda(f)\xi = f * \xi$ (cf. [N: 2.10]) for all f in the dense subalgebra $C_c(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq C^*(\mathcal{B})$, and all $\xi \in C_c(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq L_2(\mathcal{B})$.

Suppose that we are given a $*$ -representation (cf. [FD: VIII.8.2 and 9.1]) π of $\mathcal{B}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, i.e., a map $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that is linear on each fiber, that satisfies

- (i) $\pi(b)\pi(c) = \pi(bc)$,
- (ii) $\pi(b)^* = \pi(b^*)$,

for each $b, c \in \mathcal{B}$, and that is *continuous* in the sense that for each $u \in \mathcal{H}$, the map

$$b \in \mathcal{B} \mapsto \pi(b)u \in \mathcal{H}$$

is continuous in the norm of $\mathcal{H}$.

Date: April 1999.

* Partially supported by CNPq.

We may then form the representation π_λ of $\mathcal{B}$ on $L_2(G) \otimes \mathcal{H} = L_2(G, \mathcal{H})$ by setting

$$\pi_\lambda(b_t) = \lambda_t \otimes \pi(b_t), \quad t \in G, \quad b_t \in B_t,$$

where λ_t refers to the left-regular representation of G on $L_2(G)$. We will also denote by

$$\pi_\lambda : C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L_2(G, \mathcal{H}))$$

its integrated form [FD: VIII.11.2, 11.4, 17.2].

Generalizing [E: 2.3], Ng defines in [N: 2.11] the *reduced cross-sectional C^* -algebra* of $\mathcal{B}$, denoted $C_r^*(\mathcal{B})$, to be $\Lambda(C^*(\mathcal{B}))$. Ng also proposes an alternative notion of reduced algebra, namely

$$C_R^*(\mathcal{B}) := \pi_\lambda(C^*(\mathcal{B})),$$

where π is any faithful $*$ -representation of $\mathcal{B}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

There exists (see below) a unique surjective $*$ -homomorphism $\Psi : C_R^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow C_r^*(\mathcal{B})$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C^*(\mathcal{B}) & \\ \pi_\lambda \swarrow & & \searrow \Lambda \\ C_R^*(\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & C_r^*(\mathcal{B}) \end{array}$$

commutes. Ng thus introduced the notion of *proper* Fell bundles (cf. [N: 2.15]) to single out those for which Ψ is injective. It is noticed in [N] that Theorem 3.3 in [E] implies that Fell bundles over discrete groups are automatically proper. It is also shown that saturated Fell bundles are always proper [N: 2.17], as well as those whose underlying group is compact [N: A.3].

It is the purpose of this note to show that all Fell bundles are proper and hence that the alternative reduced algebra $C_R^*(\mathcal{B})$ proposed by Ng always coincides with $C_r^*(\mathcal{B})$.

One of the main consequences is that the properness hypothesis required in the main result of [N] (Proposition 3.9) becomes superfluous and hence we conclude that all Fell bundles satisfying the *approximation property* (Definition 3.6 in [N]; see also [E: 4.4]) are amenable in the sense that Λ is an isomorphism from $C^*(\mathcal{B})$ to $C_r^*(\mathcal{B})$.

2. Preliminaries.

Let us fix, throughout, a $*$ -representation $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Restricting π to B_e we may view $\mathcal{H}$ as a left B_e -module and hence we may form the tensor product $L_2(\mathcal{B}) \otimes_{B_e} \mathcal{H}$ (cf. [R: 5.1], [JT: 1.2.3]), which is a Hilbert space under the inner product defined by

$$\langle \xi \otimes u, \eta \otimes v \rangle = \langle u, \pi(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{B_e}) v \rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in L_2(\mathcal{B}), \quad u, v \in \mathcal{H}.$$

2.1. Proposition. (Lemma 2.4 in [N]). *There exists an isometry*

$$V : L_2(\mathcal{B}) \otimes_{B_e} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L_2(G, \mathcal{H}),$$

such that for all $\xi \in L_2(\mathcal{B})$, $u \in \mathcal{H}$, and $t \in G$ one has

$$V(\xi \otimes u)|_t = \pi(\xi(t))u.$$

Proof. It is obvious that V is balanced with respect to the corresponding actions of B_e and hence it is well defined on the algebraic tensor product $L_2(\mathcal{B}) \odot_{B_e} \mathcal{H}$. Now let $\xi, \eta \in L_2(\mathcal{B})$, and $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V(\xi \otimes u), V(\eta \otimes v) \rangle &= \int \langle \pi(\xi(t))u, \pi(\eta(t))v \rangle dt = \left\langle u, \pi \left(\int \xi(t)^* \eta(t) dt \right) v \right\rangle = \\ &= \langle u, \pi(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{B_e}) v \rangle = \langle \xi \otimes u, \eta \otimes v \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

from which all of the remaining details follow. $\square$

It should be observed that V is not necessarily surjective. In fact, note that the vector $V(\xi \otimes u)|_t$, mentioned above, lies in $\pi(B_t)\mathcal{H}$ which is often a proper subset of $\mathcal{H}$. This is related to the notion of *saturated* representations [N: Definition 2.5] and is one of the main stumbling blocks we must overcome in order to achieve our goals.

2.2. Proposition. (Lemma 1.3 in [N]). *If $\pi|_{B_e}$ is injective then so is the $*$ -homomorphism*

$$T \in \mathcal{L}(L_2(\mathcal{B})) \longmapsto T \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{B}(L_2(\mathcal{B}) \otimes_{B_e} \mathcal{H}).$$

Proof. Suppose that $T \otimes 1 = 0$. Then, for all $\xi, \eta \in L_2(\mathcal{B})$, and $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$0 = \langle (T \otimes 1)(\xi \otimes u), \eta \otimes v \rangle = \langle u, \pi(\langle T(\xi), \eta \rangle_{B_e}) v \rangle.$$

Since u and v are arbitrary, and π is supposed injective on B_e , this implies that $\langle T(\xi), \eta \rangle_{B_e} = 0$ for all ξ and η , which in turn gives $T = 0$. $\square$

In particular, when $\pi|_{B_e}$ is injective, we have by 2.2 that $C_r^*(\mathcal{B})$ is isomorphic to the algebra $\Lambda(C^*(\mathcal{B})) \otimes 1$ of operators on the Hilbert space $L_2(\mathcal{B}) \otimes_{B_e} \mathcal{H}$.

2.3. Proposition. *For any $b \in \mathcal{B}$ the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_2(\mathcal{B}) \otimes_{B_e} \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{\Lambda(b) \otimes 1} & L_2(\mathcal{B}) \otimes_{B_e} \mathcal{H} \\ V \downarrow & & \downarrow V \\ L_2(G, \mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_\lambda(b)} & L_2(G, \mathcal{H}) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. Let $t \in G$ be such that $b \in B_t$. We then have for all $\xi \in L_2(\mathcal{B})$, $u \in \mathcal{H}$, and $s \in G$ that

$$V(\Lambda(b) \otimes 1)(\xi \otimes u)|_s = V(\Lambda(b)\xi \otimes u)|_s = \pi(\Lambda(b)\xi|_s)u = \pi(b\xi(t^{-1}s))u.$$

On the other hand

$$\pi_\lambda(b)V(\xi \otimes u)|_s = \pi(b)\left(V(\xi \otimes u)|_{t^{-1}s}\right) = \pi(b)\pi(\xi(t^{-1}s))u. \quad \square$$

It follows that the same holds if, in place of the “ b ” in the statement above, we substitute any $a \in C^*(\mathcal{B})$, since the corresponding representations at the level of $C^*(\mathcal{B})$ are integrated from those of $\mathcal{B}$.

2.4. Definition. (cf. [N]). Given a $*$ -representation $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ as above we shall denote by $C_{R,\pi}^*(\mathcal{B})$ the algebra $\pi_\lambda(C^*(\mathcal{B}))$ of operators on $L_2(G, \mathcal{H})$.

When $\pi|_{B_e}$ is faithful, $C_{R,\pi}^*(\mathcal{B})$ was proposed by Ng [N] as an alternative reduced cross-sectional C^* -algebra for $\mathcal{B}$. The first relationship between $C_{R,\pi}^*(\mathcal{B})$ and $C_r^*(\mathcal{B})$ is given by:

2.5. Proposition. *Suppose that $\pi|_{B_e}$ is injective. Then for any $a \in C^*(\mathcal{B})$ one has that $\|\Lambda(a)\| \leq \|\pi_\lambda(a)\|$. Therefore there exists a unique $*$ -homomorphism $\Psi : C_{R,\pi}^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow C_r^*(\mathcal{B})$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C^*(\mathcal{B}) & \\ \pi_\lambda \swarrow & & \searrow \Lambda \\ C_{R,\pi}^*(\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & C_r^*(\mathcal{B}) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. By 2.3 we have that $\Lambda \otimes 1$ is equivalent to a subrepresentation of π_λ . Therefore

$$\|\Lambda(a) \otimes 1\| \leq \|\pi_\lambda(a)\|.$$

Now, by 2.2, we have that $\|\Lambda(a) \otimes 1\| = \|\Lambda(a)\|$. The existence of Ψ now follows by routine arguments. $\square$

3. The main result.

As already indicated, we plan to prove that Ψ is an isomorphism under the hypothesis that $\pi|_{B_e}$ is injective. This is clearly equivalent to proving that for any $a \in C^*(\mathcal{B})$ one has that $\|\Lambda(a)\| = \|\pi_\lambda(a)\|$. The starting point is that, although $\Lambda \otimes 1$ is but a subrepresentation of π_λ , we may “move it around” filling out the whole of the representation space for π_λ . What will do the “moving around” will be the *right-regular representation* of G , namely the unitary representation ρ of G on $L_2(G)$ given by

$$\rho_r(\xi)|_s = \Delta(r)^{1/2} \xi(sr),$$

for $\xi \in L_2(G)$, and $r, s \in G$, where Δ is, as usual, the modular function for G .

3.1. Proposition. *For each $r \in G$,*

- (i) *The unitary operator $\rho_r \otimes 1$ on $L_2(G) \otimes \mathcal{H} = L_2(G, \mathcal{H})$ lies in the commutant of $\pi_\lambda(C^*(\mathcal{B}))$.*
- (ii) *Consider the isometry*

$$V_r : L_2(\mathcal{B}) \otimes_{B_e} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L_2(G, \mathcal{H}),$$

given by $V_r = (\rho_r \otimes 1)V$. Then for all $a \in C^*(\mathcal{B})$ one has $V_r(\Lambda(a) \otimes 1) = \pi_\lambda(a)V_r$.

- (iii) *Let K_r be the range of V_r . Then K_r is invariant under π_λ and the restriction of π_λ to K_r is equivalent to $\Lambda \otimes 1$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\rho_r \otimes 1$ commutes with $\pi_\lambda(b_t) = \lambda_t \otimes \pi(b_t)$ for any $b_t \in B_t$. It then follows that $\rho_r \otimes 1$ also commutes with the range of the integrated form of π_λ , whence (i). The second point follows immediately from (i) and 2.3. Finally, (iii) follows from (ii). $\square$

Our next result is intended to show that the K_r ’s do indeed fill out the whole of $L_2(G, \mathcal{H})$.

3.2. Proposition. *Suppose that $\pi|_{B_e}$ is non-degenerate. Then the linear span of $\bigcup_{r \in G} K_r$ is dense in $L_2(G, \mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. Let

$$\Gamma = \text{span}\{V_r(\xi \otimes u) : r \in G, \xi \in C_c(\mathcal{B}), u \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Since

$$V_r(\xi \otimes u)|_t = (\rho_r \otimes 1)V(\xi \otimes u)|_t = \Delta(r)^{1/2}V(\xi \otimes u)|_{tr} = \Delta(r)^{1/2}\pi(\xi(tr))u, \quad t \in G,$$

and since we are taking ξ in $C_c(\mathcal{B})$ above, it is easy to see that Γ is a subset of $C_c(G, \mathcal{H})$. Our strategy will be to use [FD: II.15.10] for which we must prove that:

- (I) If f is a continuous complex function on G and $\eta \in \Gamma$, then the pointwise product $f\eta$ is in Γ ;
- (II) For each $t \in G$ the set $\{\eta(t) : \eta \in \Gamma\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$.

The proof of (I) is elementary in view of the fact that $C_c(\mathcal{B})$ is closed under pointwise multiplication by continuous scalar-valued functions [FD: II.13.14]. In order to prove (II) let $v \in \mathcal{H}$ have the form $v = \pi(b)u$, where $b \in B_e$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}$. By [FD: II.13.19] let $\xi \in C_c(\mathcal{B})$ be such that $\xi(e) = b$. It follows that $\eta_r := V_r(\xi \otimes u)$ is in Γ for all r . Also note that, setting $r = t^{-1}$, we have

$$\eta_{t^{-1}}(t) = \Delta(t)^{-1/2}\pi(\xi(e))u = \Delta(t)^{-1/2}\pi(b)u = \Delta(t)^{-1/2}v.$$

This shows that $v \in \{\eta(t) : \eta \in \Gamma\}$. Since the set of such v ’s is dense in $\mathcal{H}$, because $\pi|_{B_e}$ is non-degenerate, we have that (II) is proven.

As already indicated, it now follows from [FD: II.15.10] that Γ is dense in $L_2(G, \mathcal{H})$. Since Γ is contained in the linear span of $\bigcup_{r \in G} K_r$, the conclusion follows. $\square$

The following is our main technical result:

3.3. Lemma. *For all $a \in C^*(\mathcal{B})$ one has that $\|\pi_\lambda(a)\| \leq \|\Lambda(a)\|$.*

Proof. We may clearly suppose, without loss of generality, that π is non-degenerate. By [FD: VIII.9.4] it follows that $\pi|_{B_e}$ is non-degenerate as well. Under this assumption we claim that for all $a \in C^*(\mathcal{B})$ one has that

$$\Lambda(a) = 0 \implies \pi_\lambda(a) = 0.$$

In order to see this suppose that $\Lambda(a) = 0$. Then for each $r \in G$ we have by 3.1.(ii) that $\pi_\lambda(a)V_r = V_r(\Lambda(a) \otimes 1) = 0$. Therefore $\pi_\lambda(a) = 0$ in the range K_r of V_r . By 3.2 it follows that $\pi_\lambda(a) = 0$, thus proving our claim.

Define a map

$$\varphi : C_r^*(\mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(L_2(G, \mathcal{H}))$$

by $\varphi(\Lambda(a)) := \pi_\lambda(a)$, for all a in $C^*(\mathcal{B})$. By the claim above we have that φ is well defined. Also, it is easy to see that φ is a $*$ -homomorphism. It follows that φ is contractive and hence that for all a in $C^*(\mathcal{B})$

$$\|\pi_\lambda(a)\| = \|\varphi(\Lambda(a))\| \leq \|\Lambda(a)\|. \quad \square$$

Our main results follow more or less immediately from 3.3:

3.4. Corollary. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be any Fell bundle over a locally compact group G and let π be a $*$ -representation of $\mathcal{B}$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\pi|_{B_e}$ is injective. Then the map $\Psi : C_{R,\pi}^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow C_r^*(\mathcal{B})$ defined above is an isomorphism. Therefore $\mathcal{B}$ is always proper in the sense of Ng [N].*

3.5. Corollary. *Suppose that the Fell bundle $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies the approximation property (Definition 3.6 in [N]; see also [E: 4.4]), then $\mathcal{B}$ is amenable in the sense that Λ is an isomorphism from $C^*(\mathcal{B})$ to $C_r^*(\mathcal{B})$.*

Proof. Combine Proposition 3.9 in [N] with 3.4. $\square$

The following generalizes [P: 7.7.5] to the context of Fell bundles:

3.6. Corollary. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a representation of the Fell bundle $\mathcal{B}$ and let π_λ be the representation of $\mathcal{B}$ on $L_2(G, \mathcal{H})$ given by $\pi_\lambda(b_t) = \lambda_t \otimes \pi(b_t)$, for $t \in G$, and $b_t \in B_t$. Denote also by π_λ the representation of $C^*(\mathcal{B})$ obtained by integrating π_λ . Then π_λ factors through $C_r^*(\mathcal{B})$. Moreover, in case $\pi|_{B_e}$ is faithful, the representation of $C_r^*(\mathcal{B})$ arising from this factorization is also faithful.*

Proof. Follows immediately from 2.5 and 3.3. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [E] R. Exel, "Amenability for Fell Bundles", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **492** (1997), 41–73.
- [FD] J. M. G. Fell and R. S. Doran, "Representations of $*$ -algebras, locally compact groups, and Banach $*$ -algebraic bundles", Pure and Applied Mathematics, 125 and 126, Academic Press, 1988.
- [JT] K. Jensen and K. Thomsen, "Elements of *KK*-Theory", Birkhäuser, 1991.
- [N] C.-K. Ng, "Reduced Cross-sectional C^* -algebras of C^* -algebraic bundles and Coactions", preprint, Oxford University, 1996.
- [P] G. K. Pedersen, " C^* -Algebras and their automorphism groups", Acad. Press, 1979.
- [R] M. A. Rieffel, "Induced representations of C^* -algebras", *Adv. Math.* **13** (1974), 176–257.